

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/893,530 | 06/29/2001 | Craig Weldon | 051481-5009 | 3012 |
| 9629 | 7590 10/15/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | | MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT | |
| 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC, 20004 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/893,530 | WELDON, CRAIG | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Eric S. McCall | 2855 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 23 July 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— ., |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is | thdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be a second sec | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DIAGNOSTIC APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR AN EVAPORATIVE CONTROL SYSTEM INCLUDING AN INTEGRATED PRESSURE MANAGEMENT APPARATUS

NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Applicant's amendment dated July 23, 2004.

EXAMINER OF RECORD

The Applicant is notified that the Examiner of record has been changed in this application. The new Examiner of record is Eric McCall. The corresponding contact information is provided at the end of this office action.

CLAIMS

Based on the Applicant's amendments and arguments, all of the objections and rejections set forth in the previous office action of March 23, 2004 have been overcome. However, the following now applies.

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chirco et al. (5,644,072).

With respect to claim 1, Chirco et al. teach a diagnostic apparatus for a fuel system supplying fuel to an internal combustion engine comprising:

a pressure source (94);

a first fitting (42) adapted to be occluded by the removable cap (212), the first fitting being in fluid communication with the pressure source (fig. 1);

a second fitting (44) adapted to sealingly engage the filler (209), the second fitting being in fluid communication with the pressure source and with the first fitting (fig. 1);

an orifice (50) being in fluid communication with the pressure source, with the first fitting, and with the second fitting (fig. 1); and

a first valve (98) controlling the fluid communication with the orifice.

Note: The Examiner points out that preamble of claim 1 has not been given any patentable weight because the body of claim 1 does not rely upon the preamble thereof for completeness.

With respect to claim 2, Chirco et al. teach the claimed subject matter thereof (see 100 of fig. 11).

With respect to claims 3 and 4, the pressure gauge (100) of Chirco et al. meets the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 7, lines 22-25).

With respect to claim 5, the combination of elements 253, 254, and 258 in fig. 16 of Chirco et al. is interpreted as suggesting a second valve as claimed.

With respect to claim 6, Chirco et al. teach the first fitting (42) comprises a first one of a male member (42) and a female member (212), the second fitting (44) comprises a second one of the male (72) and female members (209), and the male and female members are sized for mating engagement with respect to one another.

With respect to claim 7, Chirco et al. teach the pressure source (94) being an air compressor (col. 7, lines 9-12) which meets the "one of" electromechanical pump as claimed.

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

The Applicant's arguments, along with the corresponding amendments, have been considered and have been found to be persuasive. Accordingly, all of the claim objections and rejections as set forth in the previous office action have been withdrawn. However, since the above rejection itself was not the result of any amendment by the Applicant, this action is not made final.

Allowable Subject Matter

As indicated previously, claims 8-18 have been found to be allowable over the prior art.

Claim 8 (and thus claims 9-18) has been found to allowable over the prior art because the prior art fails to teach or suggest a switch providing a signal indicating displacement of the pressure operable device in response to negative pressure at a predetermined pressure level in the charcoal canister wherein the pressure source is operated to draw a vacuum relative to ambient pressure and the signal provided by the switch is detected.

Art Unit: 2855

RELEVANT ART

The Applicant's attention is directed to the enclosed "PTO-892" form for the prior art

made of record and not relied upon but considered pertinent to the state of the art of the

Applicant's disclosure.

<u>CONCLUSION</u>

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Éric S. McCall

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2855

Oct. 13, 2004